



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,560	11/12/2003	Jong-Seong Lee	678-1281 (P11395)	6913
28249	7590	03/08/2006	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			NGUYEN, DUC M	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2600		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/706,560	LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Duc M. Nguyen	2685	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-10 and 16-18 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 11-13 and 19-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/05.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

1. The references listed in the information disclosure statements submitted on 3/7/05 has been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

### ***Specification***

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - the "upper surface" of the folder should be changed to the "outer surface".
  - the "lower surface" of the folder should be changed to the "inner surface".
  - the "upper surface" of the phone body should be changed to the "front face" or "inner surface".
  - If applicable, the "lower surface" of the phone body should be changed to the "back face".

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Objections***

3. Claims 1-22 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - the "upper surface" of the folder should be changed to the "outer surface".
  - the "lower surface" of the folder should be changed to the "inner surface".
  - the "upper surface" of the phone body should be changed to the "front face" or "inner surface".

Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims **19-22** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Haberkern et al** (US 4,633,323) in view of **Akai** (US 2004/0204194).

Regarding claim **19**, **Haberkern** discloses a portable terminal comprising:

- a phone body (see col. 1, lines 26-32 and col. 2, lines 23-26), which would obviously disclose a plurality of key and a microphone when incorporating a telephone function as suggested by Haberken;
- a folder with a main LCD disposed on the inner surface (see Fig. 2A);
- a pair of speakers as claimed (see Figs. 2a, 2b and col. 2, lines 35 –37).

Here, although **Haberkern** fails to disclose a speaker for the folder, it is noted that when modify the portable terminal to incorporate a telephone function as suggested by **Haberkern**, one skilled in the art would recognize the need of mounting a speaker on the folder in order to conduct a conversation phone call in private mode as disclosed by **Akai** (see Fig. 1(2) regarding speaker 201). Further, although **Haberkern** fails to disclose a speaker cover, one skilled in the art would recognize the need of providing a cover for protecting the speakers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled

in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize **Haberkern's** teaching to provide a portable phone terminal as shown in Akai with a pair of speakers on the hinge as claimed, so that a user can enjoy stereo sound when listening to music.

6. Claims **19-22** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Haberkern** in view of **Akai** and further in view of **Youn (US 6,697,495)**

Regarding claims **20-22**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 19 above. In addition, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide openings (holes) for the speaker and caps as covers for the speakers as disclosed by Youn (see Figs. 3-4 regarding refs. 62-72), in order to be able to direct sound while protecting the speakers from damages.

7. Claims **11-13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Haberkern** in view of **Akai** and further in view of **Watanabe (US 6,872,088)**

Regarding claims **11-13**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 19 above. In addition, since the hinge provides a rotatable feature for the folder and the phone body, it is clear that the hinge would need shaft bearings and cylindrical members as disclosed **Watanabe** (see Fig. 1 and col. 4, lines 25-45). Therefore, by providing shaft bearings and cylindrical members to the hinge, these bearings and cylindrical members would read on "partitions" and "a barrier" as claimed with the broadest reasonable interpretation.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claims 1-10, 16-18 are allowed.
9. Claims 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Derocher** (US 6,078,497), Portable electronic device having an enhanced speaker system.

**Oh et al** (US 6,865,400), User interfacing device for PDA/wireless terminal.

**Curitel Communication Inc.**, (Derwent 2005-190422), Mobile phone having stereo sound function.

11. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for **formal** communications intended for entry)

(571)-273-7893 (for informal or **draft** communications).

Art Unit: 2685

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Customer Service Window,  
Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner  
should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7893,  
Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Or to Doris To (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (571) 272-7629.

Duc M. Nguyen, P.E.

Mar 3, 2006

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Duc M. Nguyen".